arXiv:1410.6494v1 [math.NT] 23 Oct 2014

BINOMIAL CHARACTER SUMS MODULO PRIME POWERS

VINCENT PIGNO AND CHRISTOPHER PINNER

Résumé. On montre que les sommes binomiales et liées de caractères multiplicatifs

$$\sum_{\substack{x=1\\(x,p)=1}}^{p^m} \chi(x^l(Ax^k+B)^w), \quad \sum_{x=1}^{p^m} \chi_1(x)\chi_2(Ax^k+B),$$

ont une évaluation simple pour m suffisamment grand (pour $m \geq 2$ si $p \nmid ABk$).

Abstract. We show that the binomial and related multiplicative character sums

$$\sum_{\substack{x=1\\(x,p)=1}}^{p^m} \chi(x^l(Ax^k+B)^w), \quad \sum_{x=1}^{p^m} \chi_1(x)\chi_2(Ax^k+B),$$

have a simple evaluation for large enough m (for $m \geq 2$ if $p \nmid ABk$).

1. Introduction

For a multiplicative character $\chi \bmod p^m$, and rational functions $f(x), g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}(x)$ one can define the mixed exponential sum,

$$S(\chi, g(x), f(x), p^m) := \sum_{x=1}^{p^m}{}^{*} \chi(g(x)) e_{p^m}(f(x)) \tag{1}$$

where $e_y(x) = e^{2\pi i x/y}$ and $*$ indicates that we omit any x producing a non-invertible denominator in f or g. When $m = 1$ such sums have Weil [15] type bounds; for example if f is a polynomial and the sum is non-degenerate then

$$|S(\chi, g(x), f(x), p)| \leq (\deg(f) + \ell - 1)\, p^{1/2}, \tag{2}$$

where ℓ denotes the number of zeros and poles of g (see Castro & Moreno [2] or Cochrane & Pinner [5] for a treatment of the general case).

When $m \geq 2$ methods of Cochrane and Zheng [3] (see also [6] & [7]) can be used to reduce and simplify the sums. For example we showed in [12] that the sums

$$\sum_{x=1}^{p^m} \chi(x) e_{p^m}(nx^k) \tag{3}$$

Date: October 27, 2014.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary:11L10, 11L40; Secondary:11L03,11L05.
Key words and phrases. Character Sums, Gauss sums, Jacobi Sums.

can be evaluated explicitly when m is sufficently large (for $m \geq 2$ if $p \nmid nk$). We show here that the multiplicative character sums,

$$S^*(\chi, x^l(Ax^k+B)^w, p^m) = \sum_{\substack{x=1 \\ p\nmid x}}^{p^m} \chi(x^l(Ax^k+B)^w) \tag{4}$$

similarly have a simple evaluation for large enough m (for $m \geq 2$ if $p \nmid ABk$). Equivalently, for characters χ_1 and χ_2 mod p^m we define

$$S(\chi_1, \chi_2, Ax^k+B, p^m) = \sum_{x=1}^{p^m} \chi_1(x)\chi_2(Ax^k+B). \tag{5}$$

These include the mod p^m generalizations of the classical Jacobi sums

$$J(\chi_1, \chi_2, p^m) = \sum_{x=1}^{p^m} \chi_1(x)\chi_2(1-x). \tag{6}$$

These sums have been evaluated exactly by Zhang Wenpeng & Weili Yao [18] when χ_1, χ_2 and $\chi_1\chi_2$ are primitive and $m \geq 2$ is even (some generalizations are considered in [20]).

Writing

$$\chi_1 = \chi^l, \;\; \chi_2 = \chi^w, \quad \chi_1(x)\chi_2(Ax^k+B) = \chi(x^l(Ax^k+B)^w), \tag{7}$$

with $\chi_1 = \chi_0$ the principal character if $l = 0$, the correspondence between (4) and (5) is clear. Of course the restriction $p \nmid x$ in (4) only differs from $\sum^*$ when $l = 0$. We shall assume throughout that χ_2 is a primitive character mod p^m (equivalently χ is primitive and $p \nmid w$); if χ_2 is not primitive but χ_1 is primitive then $S(\chi_1, \chi_2, Ax^k+B, p^m) = 0$ (since $\sum_{y=1}^{p} \chi_1(x+yp^{m-1}) = 0$), if both are not primitive we can reduce to a lower modulus $S(\chi_1, \chi_2, Ax^k+B, p^m) = pS(\chi_1, \chi_2, Ax^k+B, p^{m-1})$.

It is interesting that the sums (3) and (4) can both be written explicitly in terms of classical Gauss sums for any $m \geq 1$. In particular one can trivially recover the Weil bound in these cases. We explore this in Section 2.

We assume, noting the correspondence (7) between (4) and (5), that

$$g(x) = x^l(Ax^k+B)^w, \quad p \nmid w \tag{8}$$

where k, l are integers with $k > 0$ (else $x \mapsto x^{-1}$) and A, B non-zero integers with

$$A = p^n A', \;\; 0 \leq n < m, \;\; p \nmid A'B. \tag{9}$$

We define the integers $d \geq 1$ and $t \geq 0$ by

$$d = (k, p-1), \qquad p^t \mid\mid k. \tag{10}$$

For $m \geq n+t+1$ it transpires that the sum in (4) or (5) is zero unless

$$\chi_1 = \chi_3^k, \tag{11}$$

for some mod p^m character, χ_3 (i.e. χ is the $(k, \phi(p^m))/(k, l, \phi(p^m))$th power of a character), and we have a solution, x_0, to a characteristic equation of the form,

$$g'(x) \equiv 0 \bmod p^{\min\{m-1, [\frac{m+n}{2}]+t\}} \tag{12}$$

with

$$p \nmid x_0(Ax_0^k+B). \tag{13}$$

Notice that in order to have a solution to (12) we must have

$$p^{n+t} \mid\mid l, \quad p^t \mid\mid l + wk, \tag{14}$$

if $m > t+n+1$ (equivalently χ_1 is induced by a primitive mod p^{m-n-t} character and $\chi_1\chi_2^w$ is a primitive mod p^{m-t} character) and $p^{n+t} \mid l$ if $m = t+n+1$.

When (11) holds, (12) has a solution x_0 satisfying (13) and $m > n+t+1$, Theorem 3.1 below gives an explicit evaluation of the sum (5). From this we see that

$$\left|\sum_{x=1}^{p^m} \chi_1(x)\chi_2(Ax^k+B)\right| = \begin{cases} dp^{m-1}, & \text{if } t+n+1 < m \le 2t+n+2, \\ dp^{\frac{m+n}{2}+t}, & \text{if } 2t+n+2 < m. \end{cases} \tag{15}$$

The condition $m > t+n+1$ is natural here; if $t \ge m-n$ then one can of course use Euler's Theorem to reduce the power of p in k to $t = m-n-1$. If $t = m-n-1$ and the sum is non-zero then, as in a Heilbronn sum, we obtain a mod p sum, $p^{m-1}\sum_{x=1}^{p-1}\chi(x^l(Ax^k+B)^w)$, where one does not expect a nice evaluation. For $t = 0$ the result (15) can be obtained from [3] by showing equality in their S_α evaluated at the d critical points α. For $t > 0$ the α will not have multiplicity one as needed in [3].

Condition (11) will arise naturally in our proof of Theorem 3.1 but can also be seen from elementary considerations.

Lemma 1.1. *For any odd prime p, multiplicative characters χ_1, χ_2 mod p^m, and f_1, f_2 in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, the sum $S = \sum_{x=1}^{p^m} \chi_1(x)\chi_2(f_1(x^k))e_{p^m}(f_2(x^k))$ is zero unless $\chi_1 = \chi_3^k$ for some mod p^m character χ_3.*

Proof. Taking $z = a^{\phi(p^m)/(k,\phi(p^m))}$, a a primitive root mod p^m, we have $z^k = 1$ and

$$S = \sum_{x=1}^{p^m} \chi_1(xz)\chi_2(f_1((xz)^k))e_{p^m}(f_2((xz)^k)) = \chi_1(z)S.$$

Hence if $S \neq 0$ we must have $1 = \chi_1(z) = \chi_1(a)^{\phi(p^m)/(k,\phi(p^m))}$ and $\chi_1(a) = e_{\phi(p^m)}(c'(k,\phi(p^m)))$ for some integer c'. For an integer c_1 satisfying

$$c'(k,\phi(p^m)) \equiv c_1 k \bmod \phi(p^m),$$

we equivalently have $\chi_1 = \chi_3^k$ where $\chi_3(a) = e_{\phi(p^m)}(c_1)$.

□

Finally we observe that if χ is a mod rs character with $(r,s) = 1$, then $\chi = \chi_1\chi_2$ for a mod r character χ_1 and mod s character χ_2, and for any $g(x)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$

$$\sum_{x=1}^{rs} \chi(g(x)) = \sum_{x=1}^{r} \chi_1(g(x)) \sum_{x=1}^{s} \chi_2(g(x)).$$

Thus it is enough to work modulo prime powers.

2. Gauss Sums and Weil type bounds

For a character χ mod p^j, $j \ge 1$, we let $G(\chi, p^j)$ denote the classical Gauss sum

$$G(\chi, p^j) = \sum_{x=1}^{p^j} \chi(x)e_{p^j}(x).$$

Recall (see for example Section 1.6 of Berndt, Evans & Williams [1]) that

$$\left|G(\chi,p^j)\right| = \begin{cases} p^{j/2}, & \text{if } \chi \text{ is primitive mod } p^j, \\ 1, & \text{if } \chi=\chi_0 \text{ and } j=1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{16}$$

It is well known that the mod p Jacobi sums (6) (and their generalization to finite fields) can be written in terms of Gauss sums (see for example Theorem 2.1.3 of [1] or Theorem 5.21 of [10]). This extends to the mod p^m sums. For example when χ_1, χ_2 and $\chi_1\chi_2$ are primitive mod p^m

$$J(\chi_1,\chi_2,p^m) = \frac{G(\chi_1,p^m)G(\chi_2,p^m)}{G(\chi_1\chi_2,p^m)}, \tag{17}$$

and $|J(\chi_1,\chi_2,p^m)| = p^{m/2}$ (see Lemma 1 of [19] or [20]; the relationship for Jacobi sums over more general residue rings modulo prime powers can be found in [14]).

We showed in [12] that for $p\nmid n$ the sums

$$S(\chi,x,nx^k,p^m) = \sum_{x=1}^{p^m} \chi(x)e_{p^m}(nx^k)$$

are zero unless $\chi=\chi_1^k$ for some character χ_1 mod p^m, in which case (summing over the characters whose order divides $(k,\phi(p^m))$ to pick out the kth powers)

$$S(\chi,x,nx^k,p^m) = \sum_{\chi_2^{(k,\phi(p^m))}=\chi_0} \overline{\chi_1\chi_2}(n)G(\chi_1\chi_2,p^m).$$

From this one immediately obtains a Weil type bound

$$\left|S(\chi,x,nx^k,p^m)\right| \le (k,\phi(p^m))p^{m/2}.$$

From Lemma 1.1 we know that the sum in (5) is zero unless $\chi_1=\chi_3^k$ for some character χ_3 mod p^m, in which case the sum can be written as $(k,\phi(p^m))$ mod p^m Jacobi like sums $\sum_{x=1}^{p^m}\chi_5(x)\chi_2(Ax+B)$ and again be expressed in terms of Gauss sums.

Theorem 2.1. *Let p be an odd prime. If χ_1, χ_2 are characters mod p^m with χ_2 primitive and $\chi_1=\chi_3^k$ for some character χ_3 mod p^m, and n and A' are as defined in (9), then*

$$\sum_{x=1}^{p^m}\chi_1(x)\chi_2(Ax^k+B) = p^n\sum_{\chi_4\in X}\overline{\chi_3\chi_4}(A')\chi_2\chi_3\chi_4(B)\frac{G(\chi_3\chi_4,p^{m-n})G(\overline{\chi_2\chi_3\chi_4},p^m)}{G(\overline{\chi_2},p^m)},$$

where X denotes the mod p^m characters χ_4 with $\chi_4^D=\chi_0$, $D=(k,\phi(p^m))$, such that $\chi_3\chi_4$ is a mod p^{m-n} character.

We immediately obtain the Weil type bound

$$\left|S(\chi_1,\chi_2,Ax^k+B,p^m)\right| \le (k,\phi(p^m))p^{(m+n)/2}. \tag{18}$$

For $m=1$ and $p\nmid A$ this gives us the bound

$$\left|\sum_{x=1}^{p-1}\chi\left(x^l(Ax^k+B)^w\right)\right| \le dp^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where $d=(k,p-1)$. For $l=0$ we can slightly improve this for the complete sum,

$$\left|\sum_{x=0}^{p-1}\chi(Ax^k+B)\right|\le (d-1)p^{\frac12},$$

since, taking $\chi_1=\chi_3=\chi_0$, $\chi_2=\chi$, the $\chi_4=\chi_0$ term in Theorem 2.1 equals $-\chi(B)$, the missing $x=0$ term in (4). These correspond to the classical Weil bound (2) after an appropriate change of variables to replace k by d. For $m\ge t+1$ the bound (18) is $dp^{\frac{m+n}{2}+t}$, so by (15) we have equality in (18) for $m\ge n+2t+2$, but not for $t+n+1<m<2t+n+2$.

Notice that if $(k,\phi(p^m))=1$, as in the generalized Jacobi sums (6), with χ_2 primitive, and $\chi_1=\chi_3^k$ is a mod p^{m-n} character if $p\mid A$, then we have the single $\chi_4=\chi_0$ term and

$$\sum_{x=1}^{p^m}\chi_1(x)\chi_2(Ax^k+B)=p^n\overline{\chi}_3(A')\chi_2\chi_3(B)\frac{G(\chi_3,p^{m-n})G(\overline{\chi_2\chi_3},p^m)}{G(\overline{\chi_2},p^m)},$$

of absolute value $p^{(m+n)/2}$ if $\chi_2,\chi_2\chi_3$ and χ_3 are primitive mod p^m and p^{m-n} (noting that $\overline{G(\overline{\chi},p^m)}=\chi(-1)G(\chi,p^m)$ we plainly recover the form (17) in that case).

For the multiplicative analogue of the classical Kloostermann sums, χ assumed primitive and $p\nmid A$, Theorem 2.1 gives a sum of two terms of size $p^{m/2}$

$$\sideset{}{^*}\sum_{x=1}^{p^m}\chi(Ax+x^{-1})=\frac{\overline{\chi}_3(A)}{G(\overline{\chi},p^m)}\left(G(\chi_3,p^m)^2+\chi^*(A)G\left(\chi_3\chi^*,p^m\right)^2\right)$$

when $\chi=\overline{\chi}_3^2$ (otherwise the sum is zero), where χ^* denotes the mod p^m extension of the Legendre symbol (taking $\chi_2=\chi$, $\chi_1=\overline{\chi}$, $k=2$ we have $D=2$ and $\chi_4=\chi_0$ or χ^*). For $m=1$ this is Han Di's [8, Lemma 1]. Cases where we can write the exponential sum explicitly in terms of Gauss sums seem rare. Best known (after the quadratic Gauss sums) are perhaps the Salié sums, evaluated by Salié [13] for $m=1$ (see Williams [16],[17] or Mordell [11] for a short proof) and Cochrane & Zheng [4, §5] for $m\ge 2$; for $p\nmid AB$

$$\sideset{}{^*}\sum_{x=1}^{p^m}\chi^*(x)e_{p^m}(Ax+Bx^{-1})=\chi^*(B)\begin{cases}p^{\frac12(m-1)}(e_{p^m}(2\gamma)+e_{p^m}(-2\gamma))G\left(\chi^*,p\right), & m \text{ odd},\\ p^{\frac12 m}\left(\chi^*(\gamma)e_{p^m}(2\gamma)+\chi^*(-\gamma)e_{p^m}(-2\gamma)\right), & m \text{ even},\end{cases}$$

if $AB=\gamma^2 \bmod p^m$, and zero if $\chi^*(AB)=-1$. Cochrane & Zheng's $m\ge 2$ method works with a general χ as long as their critical point quadratic congruence does not have a repeat root, but formulae seem lacking when $m=1$ and $\chi\ne\chi^*$.

For the Jacobsthal sums we get (essentially Theorems 6.1.14 & 6.1.15 of [1])

$$\sum_{m=1}^{p-1}\left(\frac{m}{p}\right)\left(\frac{m^k+B}{p}\right)=\left(\frac{B}{p}\right)\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\chi(B)^{2j+1}\frac{G(\chi^{2j+1},p)G(\overline{\chi}^{2j+1}\chi^*,p)}{G(\chi^*,p)},$$

$$\sum_{m=0}^{p-1}\left(\frac{m^k+B}{p}\right)=\left(\frac{B}{p}\right)\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\chi(B)^{2j}\frac{G(\chi^{2j},p)G(\overline{\chi}^{2j}\chi^*,p)}{G(\chi^*,p)},$$

when $p\equiv 1 \bmod 2k$ and $p\nmid B$, where χ denotes a mod p character of order $2k$ and χ^* the mod p character corresponding to the Legendre symbol (see also [9]).

Proof. Observe that if χ is a primitive character mod p^j, $j \geq 1$, then

$$\sum_{y=1}^{p^j} \chi(y) e_{p^j}(Ay) = \overline{\chi}(A) G(\chi, p^j). \tag{19}$$

Indeed, for $p \nmid A$ this is plain from $y \mapsto A^{-1}y$. If $p \mid A$ and $j = 1$ the sum equals $\sum_{y=1}^{p} \chi(y) = 0$ and for $j \geq 2$ writing $y = a^{u+\phi(p^{j-1})v}$, a a primitive root mod p^m, $\chi(a) = e_{\phi(p^j)}(c)$, $u = 1, ..., \phi(p^{j-1})$, $v = 1, .., p$,

$$\sum_{y=1}^{p^j} \chi(y) e_{p^j}(Ay) = \sum_{u=1}^{\phi(p^{j-1})} \chi(a^u) e_{p^j}(Aa^u) \sum_{v=1}^{p} e_p(cv) = 0. \tag{20}$$

Hence if χ_2 is a primitive character mod p^m we have

$$G(\overline{\chi_2}, p^m)\chi_2(Ax^k + B) = \sum_{y=1}^{p^m} \overline{\chi_2}(y) e_{p^m}((Ax^k + B)y)$$

and, since $\chi_1 = \chi_3^k$ and $D = (k, \phi(p^m))$,

$$\begin{aligned}
G(\overline{\chi_2}, p^m) \sum_{x=1}^{p^m} \chi_1(x)\chi_2(Ax^k + B) &= \sum_{x=1}^{p^m} \chi_3(x^k) \sum_{y=1}^{p^m} \overline{\chi_2}(y) e_{p^m}((Ax^k + B)y) \\
&= \sum_{x=1}^{p^m} \chi_3(x^D) \sum_{y=1}^{p^m} \overline{\chi_2}(y) e_{p^m}((Ax^D + B)y) \\
&= \sum_{\chi_4^D = \chi_0} \sum_{u=1}^{p^m} \chi_3(u)\chi_4(u) \sum_{y=1}^{p^m} \overline{\chi_2}(y) e_{p^m}((Au + B)y) \\
&= \sum_{\chi_4^D = \chi_0} \sum_{y=1}^{p^m} \overline{\chi_2}(y) e_{p^m}(By) \sum_{u=1}^{p^m} \chi_3\chi_4(u) e_{p^m}(Auy) \\
&= \sum_{\chi_4^D = \chi_0} \sum_{y=1}^{p^m} \overline{\chi_2\chi_3\chi_4}(y) e_{p^m}(By) \sum_{u=1}^{p^m} \chi_3\chi_4(u) e_{p^m}(Au).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $p \nmid B$ we have

$$\sum_{y=1}^{p^m} \overline{\chi_2\chi_3\chi_4}(y) e_{p^m}(By) = \chi_2\chi_3\chi_4(B) G(\overline{\chi_2\chi_3\chi_4}, p^m).$$

If $\chi_3\chi_4$ is a mod p^{m-n} character then

$$\sum_{u=1}^{p^m} \chi_3\chi_4(u) e_{p^m}(Au) = p^n \sum_{u=1}^{p^{m-n}} \chi_3\chi_4(u) e_{p^{m-n}}(A'u) = p^n \overline{\chi_3\chi_4}(A') G(\chi_3\chi_4, p^{m-n}).$$

If $\chi_3\chi_4$ is a primitive character mod p^j for some $m - n < j \leq m$ then by (20)

$$\sum_{u=1}^{p^m} \chi_3\chi_4(u) e_{p^m}(Au) = p^{m-j} \sum_{u=1}^{p^j} \chi_3\chi_4(u) e_{p^j}(p^{j-(m-n)} A'u) = 0,$$

and the result follows. □

Notice that if $m \geq n+2$ then by (16) the set X can be further restricted to those χ_4 with $\chi_3\chi_4$ primitive mod p^{m-n}. Hence if $p^t || k$, with $m \geq n+t+2$ and we write $\chi_3(a) = e_{\phi(p^m)}(c_3)$, $\chi_4(a) = e_{\phi(p^m)}(c_4)$ we have $p^{m-1-t} \mid c_4$, $p^n || (c_3 + c_4)$, giving $p^n || c_3$. From $\chi_3^k = \chi_1 = \chi^l$ this yields $p^{n+t} || c_3 k = c_1 = cl$ and $p^{n+t} || l$. If $n > 0$ we deduce that $p^t || l + wk$. Moreover when $n = 0$ reversing the roles of A and B gives $p^t || l + wk$. Hence when $m \geq n + t + 2$ we have $S(\chi_1, \chi_2, Ax^k + B, p^m) = 0$ unless (14) holds. For $m = n + t + 1$ we similarly still have $p^{n+t} \mid l$.

3. Evaluation of the Sums

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that p is an odd prime and χ_1, χ_2 are mod p^m characters with χ_2 primitive.*

If χ_1 satisfies (11)*, and* (12) *has a solution x_0 satisfying* (13)*, then*

$$\sum_{x=1}^{p^m} \chi_1(x)\chi_2(Ax^k + B) = d\chi(g(x_0)) \begin{cases} p^{m-1}, & \text{if } t+n+1 < m \leq 2t+n+2, \\ p^{\frac{m+n}{2}+t}, & \text{if } m > 2t+n+2,\ m-n \text{ even}, \\ p^{\frac{m+n}{2}+t}\varepsilon_1, & \text{if } m > 2t+n+2,\ m-n \text{ odd}, \end{cases}$$

where n, d, t and g are as defined in (9)*,* (10) *and* (8)*, with*

$$\varepsilon_1 = \left(\frac{\alpha}{p}\right) e_p\left(-2^{-2}\beta^2\alpha^{-1}\right)\varepsilon, \quad \varepsilon = \begin{cases} 1 & p \equiv 1 \bmod 4, \\ i & p \equiv 3 \bmod 4, \end{cases}$$

where α and β are integers defined in (29) *below and $\left(\frac{\alpha}{p}\right)$ is the Legendre symbol.*

If χ_1 does not satisfy (11)*, or* (12) *has no solution satisfying* (13)*, then the sum is zero.*

For the mod p^m Jacobi sums, $\chi_1 = \chi^l$, $\chi_2 = \chi^w$, χ primitive mod p^m with $p \nmid lw(l+w)$, we have $x_0 = l(l+w)^{-1}$ and

$$\sum_{x=1}^{p^m} \chi_1(x)\chi_2(1-x) = \frac{\chi_1(l)\chi_2(w)}{\chi_1\chi_2(l+w)} p^{\frac{m}{2}} \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } m \text{ is even}, \\ \left(\frac{-2rc}{p}\right)\left(\frac{lw(l+w)}{p}\right)\varepsilon, & \text{if } m \geq 3 \text{ is odd}, \end{cases}$$

with r and c as in (21) and (23) below.

Proof. Let a be a primitive root mod p such that

$$a^{\phi(p)} = 1 + rp, \quad p \nmid r. \tag{21}$$

Thus a is a primitive root for all powers of p. We define the integers r_l, $p \nmid r_l$, by

$$a^{\phi(p^l)} = 1 + r_l p^l,$$

so that $r = r_1$. Since $(1 + r_{s+1}p^{s+1}) = (1 + r_s p^s)^p$, for any $s \geq 1$ we have

$$r_{s+1} \equiv r_s \bmod p^s. \tag{22}$$

We define the integers c, $c_1 = cl$, $c_2 = cw$, by

$$\chi(a) = e_{\phi(p^m)}(c), \quad \chi_1(a) = e_{\phi(p^m)}(c_1), \quad \chi_2(a) = e_{\phi(p^m)}(c_2). \tag{23}$$

Since χ_2 is assumed primitive we have $p \nmid c_2$.

We write

$$\gamma = u\frac{\phi(p^L)}{d} + v, \qquad L := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } m \leq n+2t+2, \\ \left\lceil \frac{m-n}{2} \right\rceil - t, & \text{if } m > n+2t+2, \end{cases}$$

and observe that if $u = 1, ..., dp^{m-L}$ and v runs through an interval I of length $\phi(p^L)/d$ then γ runs through a complete set of residues mod $\phi(p^m)$. Hence setting $h(x) = Ax^k + B$ and writing $x = a^\gamma$ we have

$$\sum_{x=1}^{p^m} \chi_1(x)\chi_2(h(x)) = \sum_{v\in I} \chi_1(a^v) \sum_{u=1}^{dp^{m-1}} \chi_1(a^{u\frac{\phi(p^L)}{d}})\chi_2\left(h\left(a^{u\frac{\phi(p^L)}{d}+v}\right)\right).$$

Since $2(L+t)+n \geq m$ we can write

$$h\left(a^{u\frac{\phi(p^L)}{d}+v}\right) = A\left(a^{\phi(p^{L+t})}\right)^{u\left(\frac{k}{dp^t}\right)} a^{vk} + B = A\left(1 + r_{L+t}p^{L+t}\right)^{u\left(\frac{k}{dp^t}\right)} a^{vk} + B$$
$$\equiv h(a^v) + A'u\left(\frac{k}{dp^t}\right) a^{vk} r_{L+t}p^{L+t+n} \bmod p^m.$$

This is zero mod p if $p \mid h(a^v)$ and consequently any such v give no contribution to the sum. If $p \nmid h(a^v)$ then, since $r_{L+t} \equiv r_{L+t+n} \bmod p^{L+t}$,

$$h\left(a^{u\frac{\phi(p^L)}{d}+v}\right) \equiv h(a^v)\left(1 + A'u\left(\frac{k}{dp^t}\right) h(a^v)^{-1}a^{vk}r_{L+t+n}p^{L+t+n}\right) \bmod p^m$$
$$\equiv h(a^v)a^{A'u\left(\frac{k}{dp^t}\right)h(a^v)^{-1}a^{vk}\phi(p^{L+t+n})} \bmod p^m.$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{x=1}^{p^m} \chi_1(x)\chi_2(h(x)) = \sum_{\substack{v\in I\\ p\nmid h(a^v)}} \chi_1(a^v)\chi_2(h(a^v)) \sum_{u=1}^{dp^{m-L}} \chi_1\left(a^{u\frac{\phi(p^L)}{d}}\right)\chi_2\left(a^{u\frac{\phi(p^L)}{d}Aka^{vk}h(a^v)^{-1}}\right),$$

where the inner sum $\displaystyle\sum_{u=1}^{dp^{m-L}} e_{dp^{m-L}}\left(u\left(c_1 + c_2Ah(a^v)^{-1}ka^{vk}\right)\right)$ is dp^{m-L} if

$$c_1 + c_2h(a^v)^{-1}A'a^{vk}\left(\frac{k}{dp^t}\right)dp^{t+n} \equiv 0 \bmod dp^{m-L} \tag{24}$$

and zero otherwise. Thus our sum will be zero unless (24) has a solution with $p \nmid h(a^v)$. For $m \geq n+t+1$ we have $m - L \geq t+n$ and a solution to (24) necessitates $dp^{t+n} \mid c_1$ (giving us condition (11)) with $p^{t+n} \mid\mid l$ for $m > n+t+1$. Hence for $m > n+t+1$ we can simplify the congruence to

$$h(a^v)\left(\frac{c_1}{dp^{t+n}}\right) + c_2A'a^{vk}\left(\frac{k}{dp^t}\right) \equiv 0 \bmod p^{m-L-t-n} \tag{25}$$

and for a solution we must have $p^t \mid\mid c_1 + kc_2$. Equivalently,

$$\frac{cg'(a^v)}{dp^{t+n}} \equiv 0 \bmod p^{m-t-n-L}, \tag{26}$$

and the characteristic equation (12) must have a solution satisfying (13). Suppose that (12) has a solution $x_0 = a^{v_0}$ with $p \nmid h(x_0)$ and that $m > n+t+1$. Rewriting the congruence (26) in terms of the primitive root, a, gives

$$a^{vk} \equiv a^b \bmod p^{m-t-n-L}$$

for some integer b. Thus two solutions to (26), a^{v_1} and a^{v_2} must satisfy

$$v_1 k \equiv v_2 k \bmod \phi(p^{m-t-n-L}).$$

That is $v_1 \equiv v_2 \bmod \frac{(p-1)}{d}$ if $m \le n+2t+2$ and if $m > n+2t+2$

$$v_1 \equiv v_2 \bmod \frac{\phi(p^{m-n-2t-L})}{d}$$

where $m-n-2t-L = L$ if $m-n$ is even and $L-1$ if $m-n$ is odd. Thus if $n+t+1 < m \le n+2t+2$ or $m > n+2t+2$ and $m-n$ is even our interval I contains exactly one solution v. Choosing I to contain v_0 we get that

$$\sum_{x=1}^{p^m} \chi_1(x)\chi_2(h(x)) = dp^{m-L}\chi_1(x_0)\chi_2(h(x_0)).$$

Suppose that $m > n+2t+2$ with $m-n$ odd and set $s := \frac{m-n-1}{2}$. In this case I will have p solutions and we pick our interval I to contain the p solutions $v_0 + yp^{s-t-1}\left(\frac{p-1}{d}\right)$ where $y = 0, ..., p-1$. Since $dp^t \mid c_1$ and $dp^t \mid k$ we can write, with g defined as in (8),

$$g_1(x) := g(x)^c = x^{c_1}(Ax^k + B)^{c_2} =: H\left(x^{dp^t}\right).$$

Thus, setting $\chi = \chi_4^c$, where χ_4 is the mod p^m character with $\chi_4(a) = e_{\phi(p^m)}(1)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{x=1}^{p^m} \chi_1(x)\chi_2(h(x)) &= dp^{\frac{m+n-1}{2}+t}\sum_{y=0}^{p-1}\chi\left(g\left(a^{v_0+yp^{s-t-1}\left(\frac{p-1}{d}\right)}\right)\right)\\ &= dp^{\frac{m+n-1}{2}+t}\sum_{y=0}^{p-1}\chi_4\left(H\left(x_0^{dp^t}a^{y\phi(p^s)}\right)\right),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$x_0^{dp^t}a^{y\phi(p^s)} = x_0^{dp^t}(1+r_s p^s)^y = x_0^{dp^t} + yr_s x_0^{dp^t}p^s \bmod p^{m-n-1}. \tag{27}$$

Since

$$p^{-n}H'(x^{dp^t}) = \left(\frac{xg_1'(x)}{dp^{t+n}}\right)x^{-dp^t} \in \mathbb{Z}[x],$$

we have $p^n \mid \frac{H^{(k)}\left(x_0^{dp^t}\right)}{k!}$, for all $k \ge 1$. As $xg_1'(x) = (c_1+kc_2)g_1(x) - c_2kBg_1(x)/h(x)$,

$$p^{-n}H''(x^{dp^t})x^{2dp^t} = \left(\frac{c_1}{dp^t} + c_2\frac{k}{dp^t} - c_2\frac{k}{dp^t}\frac{B}{h(x)} - 1\right)\left(\frac{xg_1'(x)}{dp^{t+n}}\right) + c_2\left(\frac{k}{dp^t}\right)^2 A'Bx^k\frac{g_1(x)}{h(x)^2}.$$

Plainly a solution x_0 to (12) satisfying (13) also has $g_1'(x_0) \equiv 0 \bmod p^{\frac{m+n-1}{2}+t}$ and

$$\frac{x_0 g_1'(x_0)}{dp^{t+n}} = \lambda p^{\frac{m-n-1}{2}}, \quad H'(x_0^{dp^t}) = x_0^{-dp^t}\lambda p^{\frac{m+n-1}{2}}, \tag{28}$$

for some integer λ, and

$$p^{-n}H''(x_0^{dp^t}) \equiv c_2\left(\frac{k}{dp^t}\right)^2 A'Bx_0^{k-2dp^t}\frac{g_1(x_0)}{h(x_0)^2} \bmod p.$$

Hence by the Taylor expansion, using (27) and that $r_s \equiv r_{m-1} \equiv r \bmod p$,

$$\begin{aligned} H\left(x_0^{dp^t} a^{y\phi(p^s)}\right) &\equiv H(x_0^{dp^t}) + H'(x_0^{dp^t}) y r_s x_0^{dp^t} p^{\frac{m-n-1}{2}} + 2^{-1} H''(x_0^{dp^t}) y^2 r_s^2 x_0^{2dp^t} p^{m-n-1} \bmod p^m \\ &\equiv g_1(x_0)\left(1 + \left(\beta y + \alpha y^2\right) r_{m-1} p^{m-1}\right) \bmod p^m \\ &\equiv g_1(x_0) a^{\left(\beta y + \alpha y^2\right)\phi(p^{m-1})} \bmod p^m, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\beta := g_1(x_0)^{-1}\lambda, \qquad \alpha := 2^{-1} c_2 h(x_0)^{-2} r A' B \left(\frac{k}{dp^t}\right)^2 x_0^k, \tag{29}$$

and

$$\chi_4\left(H\left(x_0^{dp^t} a^{y\phi(p^s)}\right)\right) = \chi(g(x_0)) e_p(\alpha y^2 + \beta y).$$

Since plainly $p \nmid \alpha$, completing the square then gives the result claimed

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x=1}^{p^m} \chi_1(x)\chi_2(h(x)) &= dp^{\frac{m+n-1}{2}+t} \chi(g(x_0)) e_p(-4^{-1}\alpha^{-1}\beta^2) \sum_{y=0}^{p} e_p(\alpha y^2) \\ &= dp^{\frac{m+n-1}{2}+t} \chi(g(x_0)) e_p(-4^{-1}\alpha^{-1}\beta^2) \left(\frac{\alpha}{p}\right) \varepsilon p^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

where ε is 1 or i as p is 1 or 3 mod 4. Notice that if x_0 is a solution to the stronger congruence $g'(x_0) \equiv 0 \bmod p^{\left[\frac{m+n}{2}\right]+t+1}$ then $\beta = 0$ and the $e_p(-4^{-1}\alpha^{-1}\beta^2)$ can be omitted. □

References

[1] B.C. Berndt, R.J. Evans & K.S. Williams, *Gauss and Jacobi Sums*, Canadian Math. Soc. series of monographs and advanced texts, vol. 21, Wiley, New York 1998.

[2] F. Castro & C. Moreno, *Mixed exponential sums over finite fields*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 128 (2000), 2529-2537.

[3] T. Cochrane, *Exponential sums modulo prime powers*, Acta Arith. 101 (2002), no. 2, 131-149.

[4] T. Cochrane, *Exponential sums with rational function entries*, Acta Arith. 95 (2000), no. 1, 67-95

[5] T. Cochrane and C. Pinner, *Using Stepanov's method for exponential sums involving rational functions*, J. Number Theory 116 (2006), no. 2, 270-292.

[6] T. Cochrane, Zhiyong Zheng, *Pure and mixed exponential sums*, Acta Arith. 91 (1999), no. 3, 249-278.

[7] T. Cochrane, Zhiyong Zheng, *A survey on pure and mixed exponential sums modulo prime powers*, Number theory for the millennium, I (Urbana, IL, 2000), 273-300, A K Peters, Natick,MA, 2002.

[8] Han Di, *A hybrid mean value involving two-term exponential sums and polynomial character sums*, to appear Czech. Math. J.

[9] P. Leonard & K. Williams, *Evaluation of certain Jacobsthal sums*, Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. 15 (1978), 717-723.

[10] R. Lidl & H. Niederreiter, *Finite Fields*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its applications 20, 2nd edition, Cambridge University Press, 1997.

[11] L. J. Mordell, *On Salié's sum*, Glasgow Math. J. 14 (1973), 25-26.

[12] V. Pigno & C. Pinner, *Twisted monomial Gauss sums modulo prime powers*, submitted to Acta Arith.

[13] Hans Salié, *Uber die Kloostermanschen summen $S(u, v; q)$*, Math. Zeit. 34 (1931-32), 91-109.

[14] J. Wang, *On the Jacobi sums mod P^n*, J. Number Theory 39 (1991), 50-64.

[15] A. Weil, *On some exponential sums*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 34 (1948), 203-210.

[16] K. Williams, *On Salié's sum*, J. Number Theory 3 (1971), 316-317.

[17] K. Williams, *Note on Salié's sum*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol 30, no. 2 (1971), 393-394.
[18] W. Zhang & W. Yao, *A note on the Dirichlet characters of polynomials*, Acta Arith. 115 (2004), no. 3, 225-229.
[19] W. Zhang & Y. Yi, *On Dirichlet Characters of Polynomials*, Bull. London Math. Soc. 34 (2002), no. 4, 469-473.
[20] W. Zhang & Z. Xu, *On the Dirichlet characters of polynomials in several variables*, Acta Arith. 121 (2006), no. 2, 117-124.

Department of Mathematics, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS 66506
E-mail address: pignov@math.ksu.edu

Department of Mathematics, Kansas State University, and Manhattan, KS 66506
E-mail address: pinner@math.ksu.edu